

THE OCCURRENCE OF PLUTONIUM IN NATURE.

RECEIVED  
LAWRENCE  
BERKELEY LABORATORY

APR 7 1982

LIBRARY AND  
DOCUMENTS SECTION

**For Reference**

Not to be taken from this room

UCRL-1025  
c.1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

UCRL-1025  
Chemistry-Transuranic Elements

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
Radiation Laboratory

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

Special Review of Declassified Reports

Authorized by USDOE JK Bratton  
Unclassified TWX P182206Z May 79

REPORT PROPERLY DECLASSIFIED

J. R. Stewart

Authorized Derivative Classifier

9-21-79

Date

Harold Pennington

By

10-23-79

Date

THE OCCURRENCE OF PLUTONIUM IN NATURE

Charles A. Levine and Glenn T. Seaborg

November 29, 1950

Classification changed to UNCLASSIFIED  
by authority of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission  
Per Jacks H. Kahn 6-7-56  
By REPORT LIBRARY S. Martin 6-26-56 #9

CAUTION

This document contains information affecting the  
National Defense of the United States.  
Its transmission or the disclosure of its contents  
in any manner to an unauthorized person is pro-  
hibited and may result in severe criminal penalties  
under applicable Federal laws.

Berkeley, California

-2-

<u>Standard Distribution: Series B</u>	<u>Copy Numbers</u>
Argonne National Laboratory	1-8
Atomic Energy Commission, Washington	9-10
Brookhaven National Laboratory	11-14
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Division (K-25 Plant)	15-16
Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Division (Y-12 Area)	17
Chicago Patent Group	18
duPont Company	19-23
General Electric Company, Richland	24-29
Hanford Operations Office	30
Idaho Operations Office	31-32
Iowa State College	33
Kellex Corporation	34
Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory	35-38
Los Alamos	39-41
Mound Laboratory	42-44
Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory	45
Naval Research Laboratory	46
NEPA Project	47
New Operations Office	48
New York Operations Office	49-50
North American Aviation, Inc.	51
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, X-10 Site	52-57
Patent Branch, Washington	58
Technical Information Service, Oak Ridge	59-73
UCLA Medical Research Laboratory (Warren)	74
University of California Radiation Laboratory	75-78
University of Rochester	79-80
Total	80

Information Division  
Radiation Laboratory  
Univ. of California  
Berkeley, California

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

-3-

# THE OCCURRENCE OF PLUTONIUM IN NATURE


Charles A. Levine and Glenn T. Seaborg  
Department of Chemistry and Radiation Laboratory  
University of California, Berkeley, California

November 29, 1950

## ABSTRACT

Plutonium has been chemically separated from seven different ores and the ratios of plutonium to uranium determined. This ratio was found to be fairly constant in pitchblende and monazite ores, in which the uranium content varied from 50% to 0.24%, and substantially less in carnotite and fergusonite.

All the plutonium obtained was  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ , probably formed by the  $\text{U}^{238}$  in the ore capturing neutrons and decaying as shown:



The sources of neutrons include the spontaneous fission of uranium, (a,n) reactions caused by the action of alpha particles from the heavy radioactive elements on the nuclei of light elements in the ore, and cosmic rays.

In the cases of the pitchblende ores, the spontaneous fission of uranium and (a,n) reactions contribute the major portion of the neutrons. In thorium ores which contain a low abundance of uranium, neutrons from (a,n) reactions are probably dominant. The lower concentration of plutonium in carnotite and fergusonite is a result of the presence of neutron absorbing impurities in these ores.

No isotopes of plutonium other than  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  were found. An upper limit for  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  in Canadian and Belgian Congo pitchblendes was set at one part  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  in  $4 \times 10^{15}$  parts ore. An upper limit for the hypothetical  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  in Brazilian monazite was set (assuming a half-life of  $10^8$  years for  $\text{Pu}^{244}$ ) at one part  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  in  $3.6 \times 10^{11}$  parts ore.

It is postulated that  $U^{233}$  and the "missing" neptunium ( $4n+1$ ) radioactive series are present in nature in minute quantities, formed by the absorption of neutrons by  $Th^{232}$ , thus:  $Th^{232} + n \longrightarrow Th^{233} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} Pa^{233} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} U^{233}$ . Smaller amounts of  $Np^{237}$ , from the reactions  $U^{238}(n,2n)U^{237} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} Np^{237}$ , are also present. The amounts of transplutonium elements present in nature seem to be too small to detect by present means unless some unknown, very long-lived isotopes exist.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of naturally occurring plutonium was reported in 1942 by Seaborg and Perlman<sup>1</sup> who chemically separated the plutonium from a sample of Canadian pitchblende concentrate and estimated the plutonium content of the ore to be roughly one part in  $10^{14}$  by weight. From considerations of possible methods of formation of plutonium, it was assumed that the plutonium was  $Pu^{239}$ .

Because of the more complete knowledge of the chemical properties of plutonium and the availability of the multi-channel differential pulse analyzer<sup>2</sup> by means of which a radioactive isotope can be identified by the characteristic energy of its decay, it was decided to separate plutonium chemically from various ores, investigate its isotopic composition, and determine its probable origin.

Since the possibility existed that the plutonium found by Seaborg and Perlman<sup>1</sup> was not  $Pu^{239}$ , a search was also made in some cases for  $Pu^{238}$  and  $Pu^{244}$ . If the plutonium were  $Pu^{238}$ , it could have come only from the  $U^{238}$  which decayed by the simultaneous emission of two beta particles. It has previously been shown that the "half-life" for this transformation is greater than  $10^{18}$  years.<sup>3</sup>

The most logical method for the formation of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  would be the capture of a neutron by a  $\text{U}^{238}$  nucleus, forming  $\text{U}^{239}$  which decays to  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  by the emission of beta particles through the following decay chain:

$\text{U}^{238} + n \longrightarrow \text{U}^{239} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} \text{Np}^{239} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} \text{Pu}^{239}$ . The neutrons available for capture would be those emitted during the spontaneous fission of uranium, those resulting from the action of alpha particles on the nearby light elements, and those from cosmic rays.

From considerations involving the assumption of a smooth energy surface in the heavy region, and from the systematics of alpha decay,<sup>4</sup> it may be postulated that the  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  nucleus is stable with regard to decay by beta particle emission, and the half-life for decay by alpha particle emission might possibly be as long as  $10^8$  years. This would result in  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  having a sufficiently long lifetime so that if any  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  were present at the time of formation of the earth, it might still be detectable. (It should also be mentioned that there might be an irregularity in the energy surface such that  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  might be shorter lived than  $10^8$  years by many orders of magnitude.) Since the chemical properties of plutonium are similar to the chemical properties of uranium, thorium, and the rare earth elements, a search for  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  was undertaken using ores of uranium, thorium, and rare earth elements.

The plutonium was separated chemically from samples of pitchblende ores taken from Colorado, the Belgian Congo, and the Great Bear Lake region of Canada, from samples of monazite ores from North Carolina and Brazil, from a sample of fergusonite ore originating in Colorado, and from a sample of carnotite ore from Colorado. The ratios of plutonium to uranium in all of these ores were determined, and from considerations discussed in part IV, an attempt has been made to draw some conclusions as to the origin of the plutonium.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

### A. Pitchblende Ores

The plutonium was separated from the pitchblende by the following chemical procedure. A 100 gram sample of the ore was digested for three hours with 200 ml. of concentrated nitric acid. In some samples, a tracer amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  which had been pre-oxidized to the hexapositive state was added in order to determine the amount of plutonium lost during the chemical separation. The liquid was separated by filtering and the residue treated in a similar fashion with another portion of nitric acid. The filtrates were put aside and the residue fused with sodium carbonate. The fusion mixture was washed with water and then digested with nitric acid. The solution was separated by filtering, the precipitate washed, and the filtrate and washings added to the previous filtrates. The residue was treated with hydrofluoric acid plus a few drops of sulfuric acid, evaporated to dryness, and then extracted with hot concentrated nitric acid. The remaining residue was fused again with sodium carbonate, washed, extracted with nitric acid, and the extracts added to the previous filtrates.

The filtrates were then evaporated by boiling to reduce the volume, and diluted with water until the nitric acid concentration was about 2N. Sulfur dioxide gas was bubbled through the solution to reduce any  $\text{Pu(VI)}$  to  $\text{Pu(IV)}$ . Three tenths of a mg. of  $\text{La}^{+++}$  were added per ml. of solution, the solution made 3N in hydrofluoric acid, and the lanthanum fluoride precipitate centrifuged and washed. The lanthanum fluoride precipitate (containing any plutonium) was heated with three separate portions of 40% potassium hydroxide solution in order to metathesize it to lanthanum hydroxide. The lanthanum hydroxide was dissolved in a minimum amount of concentrated nitric acid, and diluted until the nitric acid concentration

was about 1N. The solution was made 0.1N in sodium bromate and heated in a water bath for one hour to oxidize any plutonium to the hexapositive state. The solution was cooled and made 2N in hydrofluoric acid in order to precipitate the lanthanum (hexapositive plutonium stays in solution).

Three of these "lanthanum fluoride cycles" were carried out, reducing the volume of the solution each time. The plutonium was then oxidized a fourth time (the volume being about 0.5 ml.), the solution saturated with ammonium nitrate, and the plutonium extracted into diethyl ether. The ether solution was washed with 10N ammonium nitrate and then evaporated on a one-inch platinum disk, giving an invisible, essentially weightless sample of plutonium.

#### B. Monazite Ores

The plutonium was separated chemically from the monazite ores as follows. One kilogram of the monazite was ground and digested for five hours in 1300 ml. of concentrated sulfuric acid. This was found to be a better means of breaking down this quantity of ore than either the strong alkali digestion or fusion processes. A tracer amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  was added in order to determine what fraction of the naturally occurring plutonium survived the chemical procedures. The digestion mass was cooled, diluted about 1:1 with water, and added to 8.5 liters of concentrated hydrochloric acid. This was stirred for one day to ensure that any plutonium was freed from the insoluble chlorides which precipitate. The liquid was filtered from the residue. The residue was washed with concentrated hydrochloric acid, and the wash liquid added to the original filtrate. Hydrogen chloride gas was bubbled through the filtrate until it was about 11N in hydrochloric acid, and the filtrate was then passed through an ion exchange column filled with Dowex A-1 Anion Exchange Resin. Under these conditions, the



resin retains uranium, plutonium, and iron while the other ions pass through.<sup>5</sup> The resin was washed by passing concentrated hydrochloric acid through it. The adsorbed iron, uranium, and plutonium were then stripped from the resin by passing 0.2N hydrochloric acid through the resin bed. This gave a dilute hydrochloric acid solution of iron, uranium, and plutonium.

To this solution was added a trace of nitric acid, sulfur dioxide to reduce hexapositive plutonium to the tetrapositive oxidation state, 0.1 mg. of  $\text{La}^{+++}$  per ml. of solution, and the solution made 2N in hydrofluoric acid. The plutonium was carried by the precipitated lanthanum fluoride, which was then metathesized with 40% potassium hydroxide as described previously, the resulting hydroxide dissolved in nitric acid, made 0.1N in sodium bromate, heated for one hour in a water bath to oxidize the plutonium, and then saturated with ammonium nitrate. The plutonium and the remaining uranium were extracted into diethyl ether, then re-extracted from the ether by shaking the ether with water containing sulfur dioxide. The water extract containing the plutonium and uranium was evaporated to reduce its volume, 0.2 mg. of  $\text{La}^{+++}$  per ml. of solution were added, and the solution made 2N in hydrofluoric acid in order to precipitate the lanthanum fluoride and the plutonium. The lanthanum fluoride was metathesized to the hydroxide, dissolved in nitric acid, and the plutonium oxidized with bromate as before. The lanthanum was precipitated as the fluoride, the filtrate and washings reduced with sulfur dioxide, and stirred while 0.2 mg. of  $\text{La}^{+++}$  per ml. of solution were added very slowly. This was digested 1.5 hours to improve the carrying of the tetrapositive plutonium by the lanthanum fluoride. The precipitate was centrifuged, washed, metathesized to the hydroxide, dissolved in nitric acid, and the plutonium oxidized to the hexapositive state by bromate. This solution (about 0.5 ml.) was saturated with

ammonium nitrate and the plutonium extracted into diethyl ether. The ether was evaporated on a platinum plate as before to give an essentially weightless sample of plutonium.

### C. Fergusonite Ore

The plutonium was separated from the fergusonite ore as follows. A 280 gram sample of the ore, ground to pass a 100 mesh screen, was treated with three successive portions of hydrofluoric acid in a platinum dish. A known quantity of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  tracer was added in order to determine the fraction of naturally occurring plutonium which survived the chemical procedures. Each portion of hydrofluoric acid was evaporated almost to dryness before the next portion was added. After the final treatment, the solution was diluted and the residue filtered off. The residue contained the insoluble fluorides and any  $\text{Pu(IV)}$  which might have been in the ore, while the filtrate contained any  $\text{Pu(VI)}$  which might have been present. Sulfur dioxide was bubbled through the filtrate to reduce any plutonium to the tetrapositive state and 0.2 mg. of  $\text{La}^{+++}$  per ml. of solution were added slowly. The solution was stirred and digested in order to increase the amount of the  $\text{Pu(IV)}$  carried by the lanthanum fluoride which precipitated.

The lanthanum fluoride precipitate was added to the original residue, the combined residues metathesized to the hydroxide by treating with three separate portions of 40% potassium hydroxide solution, and the hydroxides washed and treated with hydrochloric acid. This hydrochloric acid solution was filtered, the residue washed, and filtrate and washings set aside. The residue was treated in the same manner as the original ore sample: given a hydrofluoric acid treatment, washed, metathesized to the hydroxide, the hydroxide dissolved in hydrochloric acid, filtered, and washed. The hydrochloric acid filtrates and washings were combined and hydrogen chloride

gas was added until the hydrochloric acid concentration was 11N. The solution was passed through an ion exchange column packed with Dowex A-1 Anion Exchange Resin. Uranium, plutonium, and iron are adsorbed on the resin under these conditions.<sup>5</sup> The column was washed with concentrated hydrochloric acid and the adsorbed ions stripped from the resin by passing 0.2N hydrochloric acid through the resin bed. This gave a dilute hydrochloric acid solution of plutonium, uranium, and iron.

The acid concentration was adjusted to 1.5N, a bit of nitric acid added, sulfur dioxide bubbled through the solution to ensure that all the plutonium was in the tetrapositive state, and 0.2 mg. of  $\text{La}^{+++}$  per ml. of solution were added. The solution was then made 3N in hydrofluoric acid and the lanthanum fluoride which precipitated was centrifuged and washed. This lanthanum fluoride precipitate carried the plutonium. Three complete "lanthanum fluoride cycles," as described in the section above on pitchblende ores, were carried out, the plutonium oxidized with bromate, and extracted into diethyl ether. The ether was washed with 10N ammonium nitrate and evaporated on a platinum disk, giving an essentially weightless sample of plutonium.

#### D. Carnotite Ore

Five hundred grams of carnotite ore were subjected to the following treatment to separate the plutonium. The ore plus a known amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  was digested with 800 ml. of hot concentrated nitric acid for five hours, washed and digested with another portion of nitric acid. The liquids were set aside and the residue digested for five hours with hot aqua regia. The remaining residue was well washed and the extract and washings added to the previous extracts. To test the residue for uranium content, a portion was treated with hydrofluoric acid in a platinum dish, and the

supernatant made alkaline with potassium hydroxide. The precipitate formed was pure white, indicating that no more than minute quantities of uranium remained in the residue. The effectiveness of uranium extraction should be an indication of the effectiveness of extracting any plutonium which is present in the ore.

The combined extracts were evaporated by boiling to reduce the volume, and then diluted to a final nitric acid concentration of 1.5N. The solution was made 0.1N in sodium bromate and heated for one hour to ensure that all plutonium present was in the hexapositive state. The solution was made 3N in hydrofluoric acid and the insoluble fluorides which precipitated were washed and discarded. Sulfur dioxide gas was bubbled through the filtrate and washings to reduce Pu(VI) to Pu(IV). The solution was then stirred while 0.2 mg. of  $\text{La}^{+++}$  per ml. of solution were slowly added. The lanthanum fluoride precipitate (carrying the plutonium) was metathesized to lanthanum hydroxide and dissolved in nitric acid. This solution was made 0.1N in bromate ion and heated to oxidize the plutonium to Pu(VI). Three of these "lanthanum fluoride cycles" were carried out, the plutonium then oxidized with bromate and extracted into diethyl ether as described previously. The ether was evaporated on a one-inch platinum plate giving an essentially weightless deposit containing the plutonium.

#### E. Counting and Yield Determination

The platinum plates on which the carrier-free plutonium was deposited were placed in the ionization chamber of the differential pulse analyzer apparatus.<sup>2</sup> In this apparatus, the ionization chamber is connected, through an amplifier and 48 channel pulse discriminating circuit, to a set of 48 fast mechanical counting registers. Thus alpha particles of different energies are counted on different registers and it is possible to determine

separately the characteristics and measure the intensity of activity of each of a number of radioactive isotopes in a mixture, provided that they decay with different energies. For example,  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  and  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  can be distinguished separately by counting the number of 5.51 Mev alpha particles originating from  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ , and 5.15 Mev alpha particles originating from  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  which are recorded in a unit time.

The amount of naturally occurring plutonium not lost in the chemical processing, in the case of the Colorado pitchblende, was 26% of that originally present. This was determined from the ratio of the activity of the  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  tracer in the final residue to the activity of the  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  added. The chemical yields in the cases of the Belgian Congo and Canadian pitchblendes were estimated at 10% by considering the losses at each step of the chemical procedure. No tracers were added in these cases in order that a search might be made for  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ . The chemical yield from Brazilian monazite was 25%, and from Carolina monazite, 17%. These also were determined by the use of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  which was added as tracer. The chemical yields of plutonium in the cases of the fergusonite and carnotite ores, as determined by  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  tracer method, were 5% and 12%, respectively.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Canadian Pitchblende

A total alpha particle counting rate of about 1.5 alpha particles per minute was observed when the final plutonium sample separated from the Canadian pitchblende was placed in the ionization chamber of the pulse analysis apparatus. The activity due to 5.15 Mev alpha particles from  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  amounted to 0.66 alpha particles per minute. No activity above the background activity could be detected at 5.51 Mev, corresponding to the alpha particles emitted by  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ , or at 4.5-4.7 Mev where the unknown

alpha particles from  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  might be expected to be found.

Assuming a chemical yield of 10% for the plutonium in the isolation procedure, the alpha activity due to  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  indicates one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  per  $1.1 \times 10^{12}$  parts pitchblende ore by weight. Since this ore contains 13.5% uranium, the ratio of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to uranium is one to  $1.4 \times 10^{11}$ .

#### B. Belgian Congo Pitchblende

The final plutonium sample separated from the Belgium Congo pitchblende had a total alpha activity of about nine alpha particles per minute. The activity due to the 5.15 Mev alpha particles from  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  amounted to 3.2 alpha particles per minute. There were no detectable alpha activities of greater intensity than the background activity that could be identified as coming from  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  or  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  nuclei. Again assuming a chemical yield of 10%, the intensity of alpha activity from  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  indicates one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  per  $2.1 \times 10^{11}$  parts pitchblende by weight. Since this pitchblende ore contains 38% uranium, the ratio of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to uranium is one to  $8.0 \times 10^{10}$ .

#### C. Colorado Pitchblende

When the plutonium sample separated from the Colorado pitchblende was placed in the ionization chamber of the pulse analysis apparatus, a total alpha counting rate of about twenty particles per minute was observed. A tracer amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ , corresponding to sixty alpha particles per minute, had been added to the original ore sample. The residual activity due to  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  amounted to 15.4 alpha particles per minute, indicating that the final plutonium sample contained about 26% of the naturally occurring plutonium originally present in the ore. The activity due to the 5.15 Mev alpha particles from  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  amounted to 3.4 particles per minute. The original sample in this case was 46 grams of ore containing about 50%

uranium. This ore, therefore, contains one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  per  $2.6 \times 10^{11}$  parts pitchblende, and the ratio of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to uranium is one to  $1.3 \times 10^{11}$ .

#### D. Brazilian Monazite

The sample of plutonium separated from the Brazilian monazite exhibited a total alpha activity of about fourteen alpha particles per minute. A tracer amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ , corresponding to forty alpha particles per minute, had been added to the original sample of ore. An activity of ten alpha particles per minute was due to the 5.51 Mev alpha particles of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ . Twenty-five percent of the original plutonium in the ore, therefore, was in the final sample. The activity due to 5.15 Mev alpha particles from  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  amounted to 0.36 particles per minute. Since the Brazilian monazite contains 0.24% uranium, this amount of activity corresponds to one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  per  $4.8 \times 10^{13}$  parts ore, and the ratio of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to uranium is one to  $1.2 \times 10^{11}$ .

There were less than 0.05 alpha particles per minute that could be attributed to  $\text{Pu}^{244}$ . Using an assumed half-life of  $10^8$  years for this hypothetical isotope, an upper limit for the  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  content of this ore is placed at one part  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  per  $3.6 \times 10^{11}$  parts ore.

#### E. North Carolina Monazite

The final sample of plutonium obtained from the North Carolina monazite had a total alpha activity of about fifteen alpha particles per minute. A tracer amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ , corresponding to an activity of sixty alpha particles per minute had been added to the original sample of ore. The activity of the final sample consisted of 10 alpha particles per minute due to  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ , and about 0.3 alpha particles per minute due to  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ . The chemical yield of plutonium, as determined by the amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  tracer recovered, was thus 17%. The  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  content of the ore corresponded to one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  per

$1.7 \times 10^{13}$  parts ore. Since this ore contains about 1.64% uranium, the ratio of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to uranium is one to  $2.8 \times 10^{11}$ .

There was no detectable activity which could be attributed as due to alpha particles from the hypothetical  $\text{Pu}^{244}$ .

#### F. Colorado Fergusonite

A total alpha particle activity of about one particle per minute was observed on the platinum disk containing the plutonium which was separated from the fergusonite ore. A tracer amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ , corresponding to six alpha particles per minute, had been added to the original sample of ore. The activity due to  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  in the final sample amounted to 0.3 alpha particles per minute, signifying a plutonium yield of 5% of that originally present in the ore. An activity of  $<0.01$  alpha particles per minute from  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  was present. An upper limit on the amount of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  present in the fergusonite ore, therefore, can be placed at about one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  in  $1 \times 10^{14}$  parts ore. Since the ore contains 0.25% uranium, this corresponds to a limiting  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to uranium ratio of one to  $2.5 \times 10^{11}$ .

#### G. Colorado Carnotite

The final sample of plutonium obtained from the carnotite ore exhibited an alpha particle activity which included 3.6 alpha particles per minute due to  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ . Since a tracer amount of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$ , corresponding to thirty alpha particles per minute, had been added to the original ore, the chemical yield of plutonium was 12%. No more than 0.15 alpha counts per minute due to alpha particles from  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  were present; this counting rate is too small to be sure that any  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  was actually found in this sample. Since the ore contains 10% uranium, an upper limit on the amount of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  present in the carnotite ore can be set at one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  per  $2.5 \times 10^{13}$  parts ore, or one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  per  $2.5 \times 10^{12}$  parts uranium.



### H. Summary of Results

The  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  contents of the seven ores are summarized in the following table. These are in reality lower limits for the  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  contents of the ores (except the fergusonite and carnotite) since the possibility exists, in all cases, that some of the plutonium remained in the ore residues after the chemical treatment.

#### Summary of Results

	$\text{Pu}^{239}/\text{ore}$	$\text{Pu}^{239}/\text{uranium}$
Pitchblendes:		
Canadian (13.5% U)	$9.1 \times 10^{-13}$	$7.1 \times 10^{-12}$
Belgian Congo (38% U)	$4.8 \times 10^{-12}$	$12 \times 10^{-12}$
Colorado (50% U)	$3.8 \times 10^{-12}$	$7.7 \times 10^{-12}$
Monazites:		
Brazilian (0.24% U)	$2.1 \times 10^{-14}$	$8.3 \times 10^{-12}$
N. Carolina (1.64% U)	$5.9 \times 10^{-14}$	$3.6 \times 10^{-12}$
Fergusonite:		
Colorado (0.25% U)	$<1 \times 10^{-14}$	$<4 \times 10^{-12}$
Carnotite:		
Colorado (10% U)	$\leq 4 \times 10^{-14}$	$\leq 0.4 \times 10^{-12}$

### IV. DISCUSSION

The results of this investigation are in agreement with the data obtained by Seaborg and Perlman<sup>1</sup> if the chemical yield of plutonium obtained by them is re-estimated. Considering the now-known chemical properties of plutonium, a 10% yield of plutonium from the Canadian pitchblende by the chemical separation process used by them appears

reasonable. A recalculation of their data indicates one part plutonium per  $1.8 \times 10^{12}$  parts ore, or a  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to ore ratio of  $5.5 \times 10^{-13}$ . The original calculations<sup>1</sup> assumed a high chemical yield and the results were rounded off in a conservative manner actually representing a lower limit. These investigators called attention to the importance of the spontaneous fission of uranium as a source of neutrons for the formation of the  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ .

Garner, Bonner, and Seaborg<sup>6</sup> searched for naturally occurring plutonium in the three ores, carnotite, hatchetollite, and fergusonite. They found  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  present in carnotite to the extent of about one part in  $10^{14}$ . They were able to set an upper limit for the amount of plutonium in fergusonite of one part in  $10^9$ .

The  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  content of these ores appears on the surface to possibly be related to the uranium content. Thus, the same ratio of plutonium to uranium, within a factor of about three, is maintained in ores whose uranium content varies from 50% to 0.24% (except for the carnotite and fergusonite). From the results in the cases of the pitchblendes and monazites, one might suspect that a genetic relationship, involving long-lived progenitors, exists. However, it is also possible to explain these results in another way. It appears quite reasonable that the  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  has been formed through neutron absorption by  $\text{U}^{238}$  nuclei as described in part I. The neutrons available for absorption would be those emitted during the spontaneous fission of uranium, those emitted by nuclei of light elements reacting with alpha particles, and those from cosmic radiations. The relative importance of each of these sources can be estimated very roughly.

Assuming an average of two neutrons per fission, and a spontaneous fission decay constant of  $1.1 \times 10^{-16}$  per year<sup>7</sup> for  $\text{U}^{238}$ , about 1.1 neutrons per gram of uranium are emitted per minute due to spontaneous fission.

A 100 gram sample of ore containing 13.5% uranium will emit about 15 neutrons per minute by this means.

As suggested previously<sup>8</sup> there is another source of neutrons to be considered. One gram of uranium and the decay products in equilibrium with it emit about  $6 \times 10^6$  alpha particles per minute whose maximum energies range from 4.2 Mev to 7.68 Mev. These alpha particles, impinging on the nuclei of the light elements present, cause reactions whereby neutrons are emitted ( $\alpha, n$  reactions). Roberts<sup>9</sup> has reported on the number of neutrons emitted when thick samples of the light elements are bombarded with 5.3 Mev alpha particles. Stuhlinger<sup>10</sup> has given the excitation functions for the neutron emitting reactions involving alpha particles on boron and beryllium with alpha particle energies up to 8.8 Mev. With these data and those of others,<sup>11-15</sup> the number of neutrons emitted per alpha particle (of a given energy) impinging on a target of a light element can be estimated. Using the chemical analysis of a sample of Canadian pitchblende reported by Marble,<sup>16</sup> it can be calculated that in a 100 gram sample of ore containing 13.5% uranium, fifteen to twenty neutrons per minute will be formed due to the action of alpha particles on the nuclei of the light elements in the ore ( $\alpha, n$  reactions). However, if, for example, as much as 1% of beryllium, boron, or lithium is present in the ore in addition to the elements reported in the analysis, the additional neutron yields due to ( $\alpha, n$ ) reactions in such a 100 gram sample would be about 100, 40, and 10 neutrons per minute, respectively.

Montgomery and Montgomery<sup>17</sup> have estimated the neutron intensity at sea level in the cosmic radiation to be about 0.1 neutron per  $\text{cm}^2$  per minute. Although the actual cosmic ray neutron intensity in the ores may be somewhat higher than this (due to the action of other types of cosmic

rays on the ore), the neutron contribution from cosmic rays to the total neutron flux in the ores seems small.

For a typical 100 gram sample of pitchblende ore containing 13.5% uranium, then, somewhat more than some thirty neutrons per minute are available from the sources mentioned above. Simple calculations show that the  $U^{238}$  in this sample must capture some ten to fifteen neutrons per minute, or something less than about 30-50% of those available, in order to account for an equilibrium concentration of one part  $Pu^{239}$  per  $1.4 \times 10^{11}$  parts uranium. A consideration of the neutron absorption cross sections of the elements in the ore, together with their abundances from the above mentioned analysis, indicates that absorption of this fraction of the neutrons by the uranium is reasonable.

It should be emphasized that these estimations of the neutron flux are necessarily quite approximate. Thus, values for the spontaneous fission decay constant of  $U^{238}$ , varying from about half to twice the value quoted and used above, have been reported.<sup>18-20</sup> Similarly, the estimation of the neutron flux from the  $(\alpha, n)$  reactions is subject to error due to the uncertainty of the composition of the ores and the lack of precise knowledge for the yields of the  $(\alpha, n)$  reactions; the estimation of the numbers of neutrons from this source could be in error by a factor of two or possibly even more. Therefore, the relative importance of these two major sources for the neutrons may differ somewhat from that suggested in this discussion.

A situation similar to the Canadian pitchblende exists in the cases of the other pitchblende ores having a greater uranium content. More neutrons are available due to spontaneous fission of the uranium and the  $(\alpha, n)$  reactions, but the high uranium content of the ore absorbs them, so the plutonium to uranium ratio remains essentially constant. It should be mentioned that when an

appreciable fraction of the neutrons are absorbed by uranium, the additional neutrons which result from the fission of  $U^{235}$  contribute significantly to the production of  $Pu^{239}$ .

Somewhat different considerations seem to apply in the cases of the monazite ores. In view of the smaller concentration of uranium in monazite, the ratio of  $Pu^{239}$  to uranium in monazite might be expected to be more definitely smaller than the ratio in pitchblende if a major source of neutrons were still the spontaneous fission of  $U^{238}$ , since the relatively large amounts of elements other than uranium in the ore would capture neutrons, leaving a smaller proportion of neutrons available for capture by the  $U^{238}$ . Neutrons due to the spontaneous fission of thorium would not compensate for this effect since the spontaneous fission rate of thorium is smaller than that of uranium by a factor of about fifty.<sup>21</sup> However, the contribution of neutrons from (α,n) reactions is relatively more important. The alpha particles emitted in the decay of thorium and its daughters will give rise to (α,n) reactions with nuclei of the light elements in the same manner as the alpha particles from the uranium decay chain. One gram of thorium and its decay products will produce  $1.5 \times 10^6$  alpha particles per minute capable of producing neutrons in this manner. Thus, for example, a 100 gram sample of a typical monazite which contains 6.5% thorium, 1.6% uranium, and light elements in about the same abundance as in the pitchblende used in the previous example, will emit about two neutrons per minute due to spontaneous fission and about five or ten neutrons per minute due to (α,n) reactions. In order to maintain the  $Pu^{239}$  to uranium ratio seen in North Carolina monazite, about 0.4 neutrons per minute must be captured by the uranium. If just the uranium and thorium competed for the neutron capture, the uranium in the ore would capture about one-fourth of those available.

Monazite ore, however, contains an appreciable amount of the rare earth elements, which capture neutrons with appreciable cross sections, so that the low fraction of the available neutrons captured by the uranium is reasonable. As the ratio of uranium to thorium in the thorium ores decreases, the number of neutrons obtained from  $(\alpha, n)$  reactions becomes even more an important factor. Thus the number of neutrons produced in the ore per gram of uranium is greater in the cases of the monazite ores than in the cases of the pitchblende ores, this effect somewhat compensating for the number of neutrons captured by nuclei other than  $U^{238}$  in the monazite ores.

Since these explanations for the source of the plutonium in ores suggest that the presence of large amounts of neutron absorbing impurities, together with small amounts of uranium (or thorium), should reduce the ratio of  $Pu^{239}$  to uranium, the fergusonite and carnotite ores were investigated in order to test these suggestions further. Carnotite is a potassium uranyl vanadate, while fergusonite is a niobate and tantalate of the rare earth elements with a small amount of uranium. In carnotite, the large amounts of potassium and vanadium would be expected to capture most of the available neutrons. Tantalum, having a high neutron absorption cross section, would be expected to capture most of the neutrons available in fergusonite. In the case of the carnotite ore, the ratio of  $Pu^{239}$  to uranium present was set at  $0.4 \times 10^{-12}$  and this should probably be considered to be an upper limit. This is a factor of ten to thirty below the ratio found in the pitchblendes and monazites. Garner, Bonner, and Seaborg,<sup>6</sup> working with a five kilogram sample of ore, reported one part  $Pu^{239}$  per  $10^{14}$  parts carnotite ore. On the assumption of the same uranium content, this puts the value of the ratio of  $Pu^{239}$  to uranium in carnotite below the value of the ratios in pitchblende and monazite by a factor of forty to one hundred. Thus it can be seen that the presence in the ore of elements with high neutron absorption

cross sections tends to decrease the amount of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  present relative to the uranium content. Of the neutrons available in the ore, a smaller fraction can be utilized for the production of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ . In the case of the fergusonite ore, less than 0.01 alpha particles per minute due to  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  were seen, this being the lower limit of detection in this experiment. Unfortunately, this lower limit corresponds to the same order of magnitude as the  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  contents of the pitchblende and monazite ores investigated. With larger samples of fergusonite, a better limit could be set.

Studies by Peppard and co-workers,<sup>22</sup> limited to Belgian Congo pitchblende, are in agreement with these results so far as they go. They found one part  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  per  $1.4 \times 10^{11}$  parts Belgian Congo pitchblende concentrate (45.3% uranium). After chemically separating microgram amounts of plutonium from very large amounts (ton quantities) of Belgian Congo pitchblende, they could detect no plutonium isotopes other than  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  by mass spectrographic analysis, by differential pulse analysis, or by neutron irradiation studies.

In the present investigation, no evidence for naturally occurring  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  was found in the plutonium fraction separated from any of the ores. In the cases of the Canadian and Belgian Congo pitchblendes, an upper limit for the  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  content could be set at one part  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  in more than  $4 \times 10^{15}$  parts ore by weight. A better figure than this could not be obtained due to the relatively high background activity in the pulse analysis apparatus. Since the  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  could only have come from double beta decay of  $\text{U}^{238}$ , a lower limit of about  $3 \times 10^{17}$  years can be set for the half-life of  $\text{U}^{238}$  decaying by the simultaneous emission of two beta particles. It has already been shown that this half-life is greater than  $6 \times 10^{18}$  years.<sup>3</sup> Peppard et al.,<sup>22</sup> using a differential pulse analyzer, gave a limit on the ratio of  $\text{Pu}^{238}$  to  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  in Belgian Congo pitchblende of less than  $3 \times 10^{-6}$  by weight. This,

together with the concentration of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  which they found, sets a lower limit for the half-life of  $\text{U}^{238}$  decaying by the simultaneous emission of two beta particles at  $4 \times 10^{18}$  years.

As mentioned in part I, on the basis of the radioactive properties estimated through the use of the systematics of alpha decay,<sup>4</sup> it is conceivable that a long-lived beta stable plutonium isotope of mass 244 might exist in nature. The half-life of this isotope is estimated, on the hypothesis of a smooth energy surface, to be at least  $10^7$  years, and possibly as long as  $10^8$  years. It should decay by emitting an alpha particle of 4.5-4.7 Mev energy. If, for example, a  $10^8$  year  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  had amounted to 4% of the ore at the time of the origin of the earth, approximately four parts in  $10^{11}$  parts ore would still remain. From the lack of alpha activity in the 4.5-4.7 Mev region, we can set an upper limit for the presence of  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  in the Canadian pitchblende ore of about 50 parts  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  per  $10^{11}$  parts pitchblende. Peppard and co-workers,<sup>22</sup> through mass spectrographic analysis of microgram quantities of plutonium obtained from wastes resulting from the processing of ton quantities of Belgian Congo pitchblende for uranium, showed the total content of plutonium isotopes other than  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to be less than 1% by weight of the total plutonium. The  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  content in the Belgian Congo pitchblende is thus limited to less than one part in  $1.4 \times 10^{13}$  parts ore. This indicates that either no appreciable quantities of  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  were deposited with the Belgian Congo pitchblende, or that the half-life of the hypothetical  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  is less than about  $10^8$  years.

Since the chemical properties of the lower oxidation states of plutonium are quite similar to the chemical properties of thorium and the rare earth elements, it is conceivable that a hypothetical long-lived plutonium, formed at the time of the origin of the earth, would be found in thorium-rare earth minerals, such as monazite. The plutonium sample separated from the Brazilian



monazite had an alpha activity in the 4.5-4.7 Mev region which was less than 14% of the intensity of alpha activity due to  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ . For a half-life of  $10^8$  years for the hypothetical  $\text{Pu}^{244}$ , the  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  content of the monazite ore is limited to less than one part  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  per  $3.6 \times 10^{11}$  parts ore by weight. With the equipment now available, a five to ten kilogram sample of ore would allow such a  $\text{Pu}^{244}$  isotope of about 4% initial abundance to be identified.

It is of interest to note some other isotopes probably existing in nature which would be formed in a manner analogous to the mechanism postulated for the formation of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ . As was pointed out by Garner, Bonner, and Seaborg,<sup>6</sup> the capture of a neutron by thorium will result in the formation of  $\text{U}^{233}$  and the "missing" neptunium ( $4n+1$ ) radioactive series, thus:

$\text{Th}^{232} + n \longrightarrow \text{Th}^{233} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} \text{Pa}^{233} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} \text{U}^{233}$ . It has been shown that the number of neutrons available for capture in a typical thorium ore such as monazite is a factor of some five below the number available in pitchblende. Since the neutron capture cross section of  $\text{Th}^{232}$  is about the same as that of  $\text{U}^{238}$ , and the half-life of  $\text{U}^{233}$  is a factor of about five greater than the half-life of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ , the ratio of  $\text{U}^{233}$  to  $\text{Th}^{232}$  in monazite should be about the same order of magnitude as the ratio of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to  $\text{U}^{238}$  in pitchblende: about one part  $\text{U}^{233}$  per  $10^{11}$  parts of thorium. The presence of any of the members of this series would be difficult to detect due to the presence of the other radioactive isotopes resulting from the other natural radioactive series.

The  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  itself will capture neutrons and, by a series of steps, will form other transuranium elements, thus:  $\text{Pu}^{239} + n \longrightarrow \text{Pu}^{240}$ ;  
 $\text{Pu}^{240} + n \longrightarrow \text{Pu}^{241} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} \text{Am}^{241}$ ;  $\text{Am}^{241} + n \longrightarrow \text{Am}^{242} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} \text{Cm}^{242}$ .  
 Probably, however, only very minute quantities of these other transuranium elements exist in nature. Unless some other long-lived transuranium progenitor, with a half-life comparable to geological time, exists, the ratio

of naturally occurring  $\text{Am}^{241}$  to  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  is smaller than the ratio of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  to  $\text{U}^{238}$  by a very large factor, and the amounts of natural americium and curium are undetectable by present means. Since the neutron capture cross sections of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ ,  $\text{Pu}^{240}$ , and  $\text{Am}^{241}$  are known, it is possible to calculate approximately how much of the other transuranium elements are present. Neptunium will be present as a result of the reactions  $\text{U}^{238}(\text{n}, 2\text{n})\text{U}^{237} \xrightarrow{\beta^-} \text{Np}^{237}$ , but the requirement of high energy neutrons (greater than about 6 Mev) reduces the concentration of the  $\text{Np}^{237}$  to a value somewhat below that of the  $\text{Pu}^{239}$ , but still sufficiently large to be detectable.

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to express our deep appreciation to A. Ghiorso for the pulse analyses of the various samples in order to determine their content of  $\text{Pu}^{239}$  alpha activity, and to S. G. Thompson for many helpful suggestions with respect to the chemical procedures. This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

# REFERENCES

1. G. T. Seaborg and M. L. Perlman, National Nuclear Energy Series, Plutonium Project Record, Vol. 14B, "The Transuranium Elements: Research Papers," Paper No. 1.3 (McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1949); J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 1571 (1948).
2. A. Ghiorso, A. H. Jaffey, H. P. Robinson, and B. B. Weissbourd, ibid., Paper No. 16.8.
3. C. A. Levine, A. Ghiorso, and G. T. Seaborg, Phys. Rev., 77, 296 (1950).
4. I. Perlman, A. Ghiorso, and G. T. Seaborg, Phys. Rev., 77, 26 (1950).
5. D. A. Orth and K. Street, Jr., private communication (June, 1950).
6. C. S. Garner, N. A. Bonner, and G. T. Seaborg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 3453 (1948); National Nuclear Energy Series, Plutonium Project Record, Vol. 14B, "The Transuranium Elements: Research Papers," Paper No. 1.10 (McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1949).
7. G. Scharff-Goldhaber and G. S. Klaiber, Phys. Rev., 70, 229 (1946).
8. G. T. Seaborg, Chem. Eng. News, 25, 358 (1947).
9. J. H. Roberts, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Declassified Document MDDC-731 (January, 1947).
10. E. Stuhlinger, Z. Physik, 114, 185 (1939).
11. E. Segrè and C. Wiegand, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Declassified Document MDDC-185 (1949).
12. R. L. Walker, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Declassified Document AECD-2383 (1948).
13. I. H. Halpern, Phys. Rev., 74, 1234 (1948).
14. L. N. Ridenour and W. J. Henderson, Phys. Rev., 52, 889 (1937).
15. H. L. Anderson, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Unclassified Document NP-851 (1948).

16. J. H. Marble, Am. Mineral., 24, 272 (1939).
17. C. S. Montgomery and D. D. Montgomery, Phys. Rev., 56, 10 (1939).
18. N. A. Perfilov, J. Physiol. U. S. S. R., 11, No. 3 (1947).
19. H. Yagoda and N. Kaplan, Phys. Rev., 76, 702 (1949).
20. W. Maurer and H. Pose, Z. Physik, 121, 285 (1943).
21. H. Pose, Z. Physik, 121, 293 (1943).
22. D. F. Peppard, M. H. Studier, M. V. Gergel, G. W. Mason, J. C. Sullivan,  
and J. F. Mech, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Declassified Document  
AECD-2890 (June, 1950).